

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,629	09/30/2003	Avinash Dalmia	03141-P0449A	4650
24126	7590 12/01/2006		· EXAM	INER
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC			MOSS, KERI A	
986 BEDFOR	D STREET CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
orani ora,	01 00,03 301,		1743	<u> </u>
		·	DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/675,629	DALMIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Keri A. Moss	1743		

Potoro the Eiling of an Anneal Drief				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Keri A. Moss	1743		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6,11-16,18 and 19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4,5,7-10 and 17</u> .				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a North date of filing a North day.	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessariated. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application if	ii condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	•			

The Amended claims would be rejected by maintaining the previous rejection. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's arguments regarding the pores in LaConti's ionomer membrane. LaConti teaches that the membrane contains hydrated ion channels (column 4 lines 1-7). Examiner reads LaConti as teaching the ionomer membrane contains pores that hold water and channels that enable proton exchange. Pores are inherently openings that define a passage from one surface to another, otherwise they would not enable passage of a substance through the porous material. For example, Applicant defined a pore as a minute opening in a leaf. These pores in leaves, called stoma, allow passage from the outer surface through the opposing surface of the epidermal layer of cells into an intercellular space.

/ Uill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/675,629	DALMIA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Keri A. Moss	1743	

	Keri A. Moss 1743
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
equ	amendment document filed on <u>21 November 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the irements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following (s) is required.
ГНЕ	FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
	☐ 2. Abstract: ☐ A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. ☐ B. Other
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
	 ✓ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
-or	further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
ГΙМ	E PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.
	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
	amendment.
	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The claim status identifiers have incorrect designations and therefore the correct status cannot be identified. Claims the Examiner believes to be pending have been listed by Applicant as withdrawn.